

# Import ban fuel 2710 coming from Russian Crude

Procedure based on analysis of Sanction on imports of Fuel 2710.

- [Import ban petroleum 2710 obtained from Russian crude 2709](#)
- [Scope definition import](#)
- [Y693 - Net Exporter Countries of Crude Oil \(2024\)](#)
- [Y694 - Partner Countries listed in Annex LI](#)
- [Process flow - Import ban fuel 2710 coming from Russian Crude 2709](#)

# Import ban petroleum 2710 obtained from Russian crude 2709

## Article 3ma

1. It shall be **prohibited**, as of **21 January 2026**, to purchase, **import** or transfer, directly or indirectly into the Union, **petroleum products** falling under **CN code 2710 obtained** in a third country **from crude** oil falling under CN code **2709 00 originating in Russia**.

For the purposes of the application of this paragraph, at the moment of importation, importers shall provide evidence of the country of origin of the crude oil used for the refining of the product in a third country **unless** the product is imported from a **partner country** listed in Annex LI.

Petroleum products imported from third countries which were **net exporters** of crude oil in the previous calendar year shall be considered to have been obtained from domestic crude oil and not from crude oil originating in Russia, unless a competent authority has reasonable grounds to believe that they have been obtained from Russian crude oil.

2. It shall be prohibited to provide, directly or indirectly, technical assistance, brokering services, financing or financial assistance, as well as insurance and re-insurance, related to the prohibition in paragraph 1.

# Scope definition import

## 6. What is the scope of ‘import of goods in the Union’ in the context of ‘import’ related prohibitions in Council Regulation No. 833/2014?

*Last update: 21 December 2022*

Sanctions regulations do not contain a specific definition of the notion of “import”. Given the numerous, frequent and significant amendments to the sanctions provisions, particularly in the context of the Russian aggression in Ukraine, without prejudice to the Union Customs Code definitions and formalities applying in other areas, the conditions for determining the legal import into the Union of a good **as regards sanctions** should be assessed in relation to the time the goods are brought into the Union and presented to customs, regardless of the subsequent customs procedure these goods will be placed under. Indeed, unlike other import requirements, which are established in order to protect the internal market and EU consumers, and are thus assessed at the time of the goods’ release for free circulation, the objective of the import restrictions in Council Regulation No. 833/2014 is to deprive Russia of income which it can use to finance its war in Ukraine.

Consequently, goods which lawfully entered the EU territory and were presented to customs (a) before the entry into force of the relevant sanctions restrictions, or (b) before the date of application of such restrictions (when a wind-down for the execution of existing contracts is foreseen, for instance) can be released to the EU importers.

However, in view of Article 12 of Council Regulation No. 833/2014, national competent authorities should not allow such a release of the goods if they have reasonable ground to suspect that doing so would constitute circumvention. Moreover, any subsequent payments related to the released goods have to comply with the applicable restrictive measures, in particular asset freezes provisions in Council Regulation No. 269/2014.

[6851f7e0-acf7-4275-8626-d18d3fc15bb9\\_en](#)

- The Regulation itself does not define “import”. In the above passage from the Commission guidance (FAQ, 21 Dec 2022) it can be concluded that “Import” for sanctions purposes is assessed at the moment goods are physically brought into the Union and presented to customs authorities, regardless of the subsequent customs procedure (free circulation, inward processing, warehousing, etc.).

The purpose of the sanctions import ban is to prevent economic access to the EU market by prohibited goods, not to prohibit every customs act. In that regard, economic availability and potential access to the EU market are deemed decisive factors.

- Concretely this would mean that placing goods under customs procedures such as Customs warehouse, Inward Processing and, most clearly, the Release for free circulation are considered “Import” as defined in Article 3ma. This is supported by the fact that mid 2025 by accident the Measure was enforced in the customs systems and both declarations for the Release for free Circulation and Inward Processing failed because of a missing statement.
- Transit is deemed not to fall within the scope of the Import definition as it does not lead to economic use in the EU. Even though goods are presented when placing goods under transit, that moment merely calls for an assessment as stated in the Guidance. This is also supported by the fact that when transit is banned as well, it is explicitly mentioned in the Regulation. Furthermore, the Import control Measure effectuating the control does not include the NCTS system.
- In the respective customs declarations for placing goods under the mentioned customs procedures in scope it should be stated on what grounds the ban does not apply. Below an overview of the respective codes.
- Practical considerations. When the Measure apply a declaration will be rejected if no Y code is provided. In a real time declaration process this impacts operations directly, but at the same time offers a safeguard (i.e. on applying a Y code, not perse application of the correct code).

In declaration processes where a simplified manner applies, such as Entry Into the Declarants Record (EIDR) there is no real-time communication. In case of Customs warehousing also no supplementary declaration is required where a check is performed by the system of the customs authorities, unlike the supplementary declaration process for, for example, Inward Processing or the Release for Free Circulation.

- This specific ban is limited to petroleum products falling under CN code 2710. Common in our industry is the handling of goods classified under CN code 2707 9999. This classification is primarily based on the aromatic content being > 50%. In most cases the aromatic content is the determining factor for classifying under Tariff Heading 2707 (i.e, > 50%) or Tariff Heading 2710 (i.e. ≤ 50%). Although the practical impact is unclear, two points of attention:
  1. There might be increased controls on customs declarations lodged for goods under CN code 2707 9999. This may cause operational interruptions. We understand that

establishing the aromatic content is a relatively time-consuming process;

2. Different methods lead to different results. In practice we have seen examples where different approved methods applied to determine the aromatic content lead to different outcomes and since this is the determining factor applied in the EU to determine the classification, there is an increased risk in non compliance.

# Y693 - Net Exporter Countries of Crude Oil (2024)

Below is the official list of third-country net exporter countries of crude oil (based on 2024 data) that benefit from the presumption that petroleum products (CN 2710) imported from them into the EU were obtained from domestic crude oil, not from Russian crude — unless competent authorities have reasonable grounds to suspect otherwise. This list is taken from the [European Commission's sanctions FAQ on the oil import ban \(last update 29 October 2025\)](#).

(Imports from these countries are presumed not to be derived from Russian crude)

- Afghanistan\*
- Albania
- Algeria
- Angola
- Antigua and Barbuda\*
- Argentina
- Azerbaijan
- Bahamas\*
- Barbados\*
- Belize\*
- Bhutan\*
- Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
- Brazil
- Burkina Faso\*
- Burundi\*
- Cabo Verde\*
- Cambodia
- Cameroon
- Central African Republic\*
- Chad\*
- Colombia
- Comoros\*
- Democratic Republic of the Congo
- Djibouti\*
- Dominica\*

- Ecuador
- Egypt
- Equatorial Guinea
- Fiji\*
- Gabon
- Gambia\*
- Ghana
- Grenada\*
- Guatemala
- Guinea\*
- Guinea-Bissau\*
- Guyana
- Iraq
- Islamic Republic of Iran
- Kazakhstan
- Kiribati\*
- Kuwait
- Lesotho\*
- Liberia\*
- Libya
- Malawi\*
- Maldives\*
- Mali\*
- Mauritania\*
- Mexico
- Mongolia
- Mozambique
- Myanmar
- Nigeria
- Oman
- Palau\*
- Papua New Guinea\*
- Qatar
- Republic of Moldova
- Republic of the Congo
- Saint Kitts and Nevis\*
- Saint Lucia\*
- Saint Vincent and the Grenadines\*
- Samoa\*
- Sao Tome and Principe\*
- Saudi Arabia
- Seychelles\*
- Sierra Leone\*
- Solomon Islands\*
- Somalia\*
- South Sudan
- Sudan

- Timor-Leste\*
- Tonga\*
- Trinidad and Tobago
- Tunisia
- Turkmenistan
- United Arab Emirates
- Vanuatu\*

\* Countries for which only aggregated data across multiple countries is available; they are treated as net exporters for the purposes of the presumption.

### **How the Presumption Works**

- Petroleum products imported from these net-exporting countries are presumed to be produced from that country's own crude, and therefore not derived from Russian crude oil.
- This presumption can be rebutted by customs authorities if there are reasonable grounds to believe the products were actually obtained from Russian crude (e.g., disproportionate imports or refined blends with Russian origin).

### **Notes**

- This list is updated annually based on International Energy Agency net trade flow data.
- Even for net exporters, authorities may still ask for documentation if they suspect the presumption does not hold in specific cases.

# Y694 - Partner Countries listed in Annex LI

Below the official list of partner countries in Annex LI of Council Regulation (EU) No 833/2014 — i.e., the countries whose petroleum product imports into the EU are exempt from the obligation to provide evidence of the origin of the crude oil used to produce the product (because those countries have equivalent restrictive measures in place):

As amended most recently (Annex LI to [Regulation 833/2014](#)):

- Canada
- Norway
- United Kingdom
- United States of America
- Switzerland
- Australia
- Japan
- New Zealand

This list defines the countries from which importers are not required to provide documentary evidence of crude oil origin for CN 2710 petroleum products imported into the EU under the sanctions import ban regime.

If petroleum products under CN 2710 are imported from one of these partner countries, the EU sanctions regime does not require submission of evidence about the crude oil used in refining (i.e., no affirmation that it is not derived from Russian crude).

# Process flow - Import ban fuel 2710 coming from Russian Crude 2709

It should be noted in general that all statements on origin should be substantiated by proof. With regard to any documentation it should carefully be considered what the documentation says and proves. For example, a Certificate of Origin issues by a governmental body in an exporting country is, most likely, based on the application of rules of origin other than does applicable to the EU. The concept of 'free evidence' applies when it comes to origin, meaning that there are no specific documents required. Any documentation substantiating the audit trail of goods and the manufacturing thereof can and should be considered.

